



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/023,622	12/17/2001	Jonathan Trostle	50325-0594	3947

29989 7590 06/28/2005

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP
2055 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 550
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

EXAMINER

BERGER, AUBREY H

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2134

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/023,622

Applicant(s)

TROSTLE ET AL.

Examiner

Aubrey H. Berger

Art Unit

2134

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/17/2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8, 15, 22 and 23 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 60/296,858.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/19/02
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-23 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS of 03/19/02 was received and considered.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 8, 15, 22, and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- a. Regarding claim 8, there are two consecutive semi-colons at the end of line 9.
- b. Regarding claim 15, there are two consecutive semi-colons at the end of line 11.
- c. Regarding claim 22, there are two consecutive semi-colons at the end of line 10.
- d. Regarding claim 23, there are two consecutive semi-colons at the end of line 16.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2134

5. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skene et al, hereinafter "Skene", (U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2001/0052016) in view of Ye (U.S. Patent Number 6,772,348).

6. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Skene discloses a computer system providing Internet protocol security without secure domain name resolution, the system comprising: a local domain name service (DNS) server (Fig. 1, #110). Skene also discloses that a local DNS receives request messages including a domain name, after which the local DNS cache is searched to match the domain name (Fig. 4), but Skene lacks an IPSEC cache.

However, Ye discloses a server (Col. 4, lines 8-14) communicatively computed to a processor/host computer (Fig. 2, #70), that includes a secure Internet security protocol (IPSEC) cache/cache table (Fig. 2, #120), wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is readable only by an Internet protocol (IP) processing layer/IPSEC driver (Fig. 2, #72), of an operating system that controls execution of an application program by the processor/host computer, (Col. 5, lines 50-54), a security policy data store/policy agent (Fig. 2, #90), that is communicatively coupled to the IP processing layer/IPSEC driver, a computer-readable medium accessible to the processor/host computer, and comprising one or more sequences of instructions which, when executed by the processor/host computer, cause the processor/host computer, to carry out the steps of: receiving a message/incoming packet (Fig. 4, #84), generated as a result of execution of the application program that contains a domain name (Fig. 6, #160), searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, for an entry that

Art Unit: 2134

matches the domain name (Fig. 6, #164), querying the security policy data store/policy agent, for an IPSEC policy/SA (Security Association) (Fig. 4, #136), matching the domain name (Fig. 6, #166), applying the IPSEC policy/SA, to the message/incoming packet, (Fig. 6, #178), and purging the matching entry from the cache (Fig. 6, #180), wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, comprises a plurality of cache entries (Fig. 4, #124), wherein each cache entry comprises a DNS name, one or more corresponding IP addresses, and information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time (Col. 6, lines 51-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Skene's DNS server to include a processor, security policy data store, IPSEC cache, and a computer-readable medium as described by Ye. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to perform such a modification because you gain the benefit of enhanced speed, as taught by Ye (Col. 2, lines 17-23).

7. Regarding claims 3 and 4, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in Claim 2, wherein the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, further comprises the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, for an entry that matches a process identifier/filter flag (Ye, Fig. 4, #136), of the application program (Ye, Col. 6, lines 56-60), based on the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular application process or execution time/communication stream (Ye, Col. 7, ¶3), wherein the information that uniquely associates the cache entry with a particular

Art Unit: 2134

application process or execution time/communication stream, comprises a process identifier value/filter flag, and a transaction identifier value/index value (Ye, Fig. 6, #162).

8. Regarding claim 5, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in Claim 4, wherein the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, further comprises the step of searching the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, for an entry that matches a process (Ye, Fig. 6, #168) and transaction (Ye, Fig. 6, #162) associated with the application program/communication stream, based on the process identifier value/filter flag, and transaction identifier value/index value, in the cache.

9. Regarding claim 6, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in Claim 1, further comprising the step of querying the security policy database/policy agent, for an IPSEC policy/SA, based on an IP address (Ye, Col. 2, lines 26-32 & Col. 7, lines 5-9). The invention of Ye discloses a system that derives an index value based on a packet's IP address (Ye, Col. 7, lines 5-9). The index value is used to search for a matching SA from the cache table (Ye, Col. 7, ¶5 to Col. 8, ¶1).

10. Regarding claim 7, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer system as recited in Claim 1, further comprising the steps of: receiving a request to resolve a DNS name into network addresses/IP address, resolving the DNS name using the local DNS server (Fig. 4, #202), resulting in generating one or more network addresses/IP addresses, corresponding to the DNS name, determining identifier information/filter flag, that uniquely associates the request

Art Unit: 2134

with a particular application process or execution time/communication stream, and storing the DNS name, the network addresses/IP addresses, and the identifier information/filter flag, as an entry in the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, (Col. 7, lines 9-36).

11. Claims 8-14 are rejected under similar rationale as per claims 1-7.

12. Regarding claims 15 and 22, Skene as modified above, discloses a computer-readable medium carrying one or more sequences of instructions for providing Internet protocol security without secure domain name resolution, which instructions, when executed by one or more processors/host computer, cause the one or more processors/host computer, to carry out the steps of: receiving a message/incoming packet, generated as a result of execution of an application program/communication stream, and that contains a domain name (Fig. 4, #202), searching a secure Internet security protocol (IPSEC) cache/cache table, for an entry that matches the domain name (Fig. 4, #203), wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is communicatively coupled to a local domain name service (DNS) server (Fig. 1, #110), and wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is readable only by an Internet protocol (IP) processing layer/IPSEC driver, of an operating system that controls execution of the application program/communication stream, querying a security policy data store/policy agent, that is communicatively coupled to the IP processing layer/IPSEC driver, for an IPSEC policy/SA, matching the domain name (Ye, Fig. 6, #166), applying the IPSEC policy/SA, to the message/incoming packet, and purging the matching entry from the cache (Ye, Fig. 6, #180).

Art Unit: 2134

17. Claims 16-21 are rejected under similar rationale as per claims 2-7.

18. Regarding claim 23, Skene as modified above, discloses an apparatus for providing Internet protocol security, without secure domain name resolution, for messages that are carried by a packet-switched data network (Ye, Fig. 2), comprising: a network interface that is coupled to the data network for receiving one or more packet flows therefrom (Ye, Fig. 2, #84), a processor/host computer, one or more stored sequences of instructions which (Ye, Col. 3, lines 2-4), when executed by the processor/host computer, cause the processor/host computer, to carry out the steps of: receiving a message/incoming packet, generated as a result of execution of an application program/communication stream, and that contains a domain name (Page 4, Col. 1, lines 17-20), searching a secure Internet security protocol (IPSEC) cache/cache table, for an entry that matches the domain name (Ye, Fig. 6, #164), wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is communicatively coupled to a local domain name service (DNS) server (Fig. 1, #110), and wherein the secure IPSEC cache/cache table, is readable only by an Internet protocol (IP) processing layer/IPSEC driver, of an operating system that controls execution of the application program, (Ye, Col. 5, lines 50-54), querying a security policy data store/policy agent, that is communicatively coupled to the IP processing layer/IPSEC driver, for an IPSEC policy, matching the domain name (Ye, Fig. 6, #166), applying the IPSEC policy/SA to the message/incoming packet (Ye, Fig. 6, #178), and purging the matching entry from the cache (Ye, Fig. 6, #180).

Conclusion

19. Claims 1-23 have been rejected.
20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- e. United States Patent 6,353,886 is cited for disclosing a DNS server that validates a security policy and implements IPSEC.
 - f. United States Patent 6,253,321 is cited for disclosing a data IPSEC processing system including a policy manager that implements a security protocol based on processing data in packets.
 - g. United States Patent Application Publication 2004/0093434 is cited for disclosing network address translation implemented using IPSEC and a DNS server.
21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aubrey H. Berger whose telephone number is (571)272-8155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHB

David V. Jung
Primary Examiner

